Approved For Release 2000/09/07 : CIA-RDP62S00346A000100110044-7

8 Jamery 1958 " M

Chairman, EDAC Working Group I

(IA Hamber

xi.

Intelligence on Soviet Bloc Position with Respect to Rolling Mills, Presses and Rear Making Machinery 1

I. Bolling Mills (IL-1305)

The slowdown in the growth rate of the steel industry in Soviet Bloc countries since 1955, most notably in the Soviet Union, clearly arises from an inability to provide from their common resources the capital equipment necessary for the attainment of the original goals. In particular, the provision of modern rolling and finishing equipment for the steel industry has long been neglected within the Soviet Bloc.

Buring the Fifth and preceding five year plans the Soviet Ministry of Forrous Metallurgy concentrated its investment program on the construction and modernisation of primary iron and steel making facilities. The Fifth Five Year Flan goal for the provision of new iron ore mining capacity was underfulfilled to the extent of 26 million tons. Although rolling and finishing capacities were expanded sufficiently to meet overall output goals, the objectives for all types of rolled products were rarely achieved. Much of the new capacity that was provided was not of most recent design and to a considerable extent was obtained from occupied countries. Little provision was made for modernization or for the introduction of rolling or finishing line equipment embodying advanced technology. At the end of the Fifth Five Year Plan (1955) the USSR had no continuous hot strip mills of its own manufacture in operation, only one small electrolytic timning line, and no continuous sheet annealing or galvanizing lines. As of 1956, only one cluster mill was reported to have been installed in the Soviet Union. The Fifth Five Year Flan objective for the installation of new rolling mills was underfulfilled by h.8 million tons of capacity, a shortfall of approximately 30%. The Soviet effort in 195k to purchase 50,000 tens of modern rolling mill equipment in the West was in anticipation of this shortfall.

The Sixth Five Year Plan of the Soviet Union provided for a production of 52.7 million tone of rolled steel in 1960, an increase of 51%, or 21.9 million tone over 1955, representing an average annual increase during the Plan period of 4.38 million tone. However, the recently announced goal for 1958 of 61.7 million tone of rolled steel, will, if achieved, provide an average annual increase of only 2.13 million

If For a summary analysis of the machine tool and industrial equipment industries of the Soviet Bloc see IA HE-186, parts III, IV, and

SECULT

tons during the first three years of the Plan period. An annual increment of 5.5 million tons in rolled steel output, (more than double the rate thus far achieved) would thus be required to meet the original 1960 goal.

The overall increase of 51% in the output of rolled steel planned by the Soviets for the 1955/60 period, although quite large, does not adequately measure the magnitude and difficulty of providing the necessary rolling and finishing equipment. In previous plan periods, and, indeed, today, Soviet rolled steel output is prependerantly in the form of heavy products and the machine building plants serving the industry have specialized on equipment for such production. The Sixth Five Year Plan, however, provided for disproportionately heavy increases in the output of products requiring equipment which the Soviet Union has had little experience in manufacturing. The production of sheets under 3 mm. was to increase by 120%, of strip by 110%, of wire rods by 100% and of light sections by 90%. In the cold rolling and drawing category, the production of wire was to increase by 200% and cold relied sheets by 300%. Jutput of electrical sheets was to increase by 120%, and in the militarily significant fields of stainless steels and high temperature alloys, capacity was to have been expanded 3.2 and 6 fold, respectively. All of these products require types of relling mills that the Soviets have not heretofore manufactured in quantity. However, even in the category of blooming mills a shortfall seems probable in view of the failure of the Soviets to date to install a single high production continuous casting facility to meet their emounced goal of 12 million tone of continuous casting capacity by 1960.

The Soviet capability of providing their steel industry with adequate rolling mill capacity from their own resources is further impaired by the apparently unanticipated magnitude of their requirements for equipment for the mining and processing of iron ore. As has been stated, the Fifth Five Year Flan goal for the provision of new iron ore mining capacity was underfulfilled to the extent of 26 million tons. Substantially all major new Soviet developments for the mining and processing of iron ore are behind schedule. The depletion of reserves of shipping grade ores combined with the Soviet requirement for high grade blast furnace feed to meet pig iron production goals and to lower coke consumption have created a need for unexpectedly large quantities of mining and beneficiating equipment. Since these items are manufactured by the same types of facilities, frequently in the same plants that produce rolling stills, they are in direct competition. It is not surprising that the Soviets in the susser of 1957, attempted to purchase in the United States iron ore mining, milling and precessing machinery and services valued at \$400,000,000.

East Germany and Czechoslovakia are the only Soviet Blog producers of significant quantities of rolling mill equipment other than the USSR. Their manufacturing capacity, estimated at 75,000 tens in 1955, may be sufficient to provide for their own expansion plans and to offset to

some extent USSR commitments to other European Satellites, Communist China and to India. Even Caschoslovakia, however, has accomplished little replacement or modernisation of its own rolling mill facilities; in December 1957 60% of Casch rolling mills were more than hG years old and 68% more than 30 years old.

Although the infeasibility of providing the necessary espital equipment to attain the original 1960 goals has been implicitly recognized within the Bloc by the announcement of lowered objectives, the announcements have continued to reaffirm a policy of foreing the expansion of heavy industry by all available means. The current reduction in the rate of expansion of rolled steel output has in turn restricted the growth of those industries dependent on steel as a raw material. The procurement of modern rolling mills from non-Bloc sources would commensurately enhance the Blec capability to accelerate the expansion of its military supporting industries not only by providing equipment now in short supply but also by releasing manufacturing capacity for the production of other items needed to balance the program. The release from centrol of certain types of specialty mills, would in addition, increase Soviet capabilities to meet the close tolerances required for aircraft and missile applications, a field in which their current capacity is particularly limited.

3

SECRET.

Approved For Release 2000/09/07 : CIAPPE62S00346A000100110044-7

II. Presses over 1000 Tons (IL-1072)

A. Models Produced

The Seviet Bloc has built 25 types of mechanical, 12 types of hydraulic forging and bending presses, and one extrusion (1500 ton) press, with rated pressures between 1000 and 2500 tons.

Two new mechanical types are in the design stage; they are of 1600 and 2500 tons sizes.

In rated pressures over 2500 tons the Soviet Bloc has built the following presses: 2 types of mechanical, 5000 and 6000 tons pressures; 6 types of hydraulic forging and bending presses, 5000 to 6000 tons pressures; 3 types of extrusion presses of 3500 to 5000 and 5000 tons pressures.

Plans call for the building of two new mechanical types, one each of 8000 and 10,000 tons sizes.

The USSE has under construction at the present time (1957 information) one 30,000 tons hydraulic forging press and one 12,000 tons extrusion press.

B. Production

There is no information on the quantities of presses produced, other than minimal data for the extra large sizes suggested above.

C. Trade

There have been no exports during the past several years, of presses of 1000 tens and over class by the Soviet Blec to the free world. USSR, East Germany, and Caechoslovakia have exported an indeterminable quantity of presses in this class to China including two extrusion presses of 5,000 tens pressure which were made in the USSR.

There have been no experts of these presses to the Soviet Bloc from COCOM members. Switzerland has the capability to expert such presses to the Bloc, but is not known to have shipped eny.

D. Moe Position

Large presses (1,000 tons pressure and over) are produced in the Soviet Moc only in the USSR, East Carmany and Osechoslovakia.

The USSE is the largest producer of presses in the class above 2,500 tens pressure. But the USSE technology in the production of all presses, including the large presses, is not yet satisfactory.

j,

The Moscow Industrial-Economic Casette (Promyshlenno-Ekonomioheskaya Gaseta) of 1 March 1957 complained that presses in all sizes made in the USSN were not as productive as desired, that their performance lagged behind that of the West, and that they were not as technologically advanced as those of the West.

Sast Cermany is probably the Bloc's largest producer of presses in the 1,000 to 2,500 tons pressure class.

East Cornsey has been building the mechanical types up to 2000 tons on a "batch type production" of as many as 10 at a time. Most of this production goes to the USSR. (All types of presses over 2000 tons pressure have been built on a "custom built" scale - one at a time - in all the Bloc countries producting them.)

Grechoslovakia is probably the second largest producer of presses in the Soviet Bloc in the class of 2,500 tons pressure and over.

USSE and Poland are the most likely quantity customers for presses (over 1000 tons) from the West. This is due to their past short supply and the ambitious industrial expansion plans which are still taxing Blockability to provide large presses in time to meet schedules.

East Germany and Caschoslovakia will rebably require smaller imports of large presses.

Approved For Release 2000/09/07 : CTA-RDP62S00346A000100110044-7

III. Gear Making Machinery (IL-1088)

A. Models Produced

The number of models of gear making machines produced in the Soviet Bloc is listed below, separated into types of gears: bevel gear generating machines and hobbers, shapers, grinders, and shavers for apur and holical gears.

Spur and Helical Gears					Bevel Gears
	Hobbers	Shapers	Grinders	STRACES	Bevel Gear Generators 1/
188R	20	22	5	6	9
E. Cermany	7	3	6	1	*
(sechoslovakia	4	3	0	3.	0
Poland	2	0	0	0	0
					Parameter Anna Parame

^{1/} Includes hobbers and shapers.

Only 12 of the 33 hobbers produced are for gears over 1200 mm (160°) and the largest size is 5000 mm (200°).

Shapers are produced in the following me sizes: 75, 100, 200, 250, 450, 500, 900, 1800, and 2250.

Orindars are produced in the following mm sizes: 200, 300, 315, 500, 800, and 1250.

Shavers are produced in 200, 300, 355, and 450 mm sises. Two machines for which dimensions are not available appear to be made for shaving small gears, probably less than 75 mm (3").

Sevel Gear Machines are made in the following me alses: 125, 275, 355, 450, 600, 750, 800, and 1000 with 5 of the models being in the 125 mm (5") size. The 1000 mm machine is in the prototype category, "to be finished in 1957."

B. Production

Information is not available on the numbers of machines produced in the above models, for the entire Soviet Bloo. In the case of the USSR, it is believed that since 1950 over 2,000 machines have been manufactured per year.





C. Trade

The Seviet Bloc is a net experter of gear making machines, although its needs for a varied inventory of machines have not yet been met.

The principal exporting country is East Cermany, whose sales of grinding machines to West Cermany in 1957 - virtually its sole market - are estimated to have assumted to about \$1 million. East Cermany exports gear grinders is the 315 and 500 mm sizes. A few large hobbers in the 1500 and 3000 mm class are also exported.

Poland and the USER have experted only negligible quantities of gear making machines to wastern Europe. A few machines have been sold in the Mear East and to the unisrdeveloped countries of Asia. These exports have been small and entail shipments of one-of-m-kind machines.

Gaechoslovakia has been advertising hobbing machines of 800 and 1000 mm with immediate delivery and 2500 mm size machines with "early" delivery. Actual quantities delivered are unknown; some have been sold to South America and a few may have been sold to the UK. Gaechoslovakia also advertises 200 mm gear shapers for immediate delivery; the quantities sold are unknown.

The Soviet Bloc imports of gear making machines from the West are mainly from Switserland, although West Germany was requested by Gaecheelovakia in 1957 to provide it with 2 Robbers of the same size that it advertises for export.

sast Germany and the USER have imported Manag 1/ geer grinders and gear planers, usually in the larger sizes.

D. Bloc Cosition

The Soviet Bloc position appears to be best in the hobber category.

The Bloc needs a greater variety of sizes of gear grinders. In the very small and in the very large sizes, the Bloc is still in a poor position.

The range of shaper sizes is fair and production in the smaller sizes (up to 250 mm) appears adequate for Bloc needs.

Although production of shavers is small and the number of models limited, there is no evidence of Bloc imports of this type machine to date.

y Mang is a Swiss concern.

7

SECRET

Approved For Release 2000/09/07 : C. F. P. 62S00346A000100110044-7

The variety of models of bevel gear machines produced is judged to be inadequate.

The greatest quantity of production is in machines for making pinions; the variety of noisls in the larger size does not appear adequate. 1

25X1A9a

West Carmany requested permission in 1957 to ship 2 bevel gear generating machines in the 750 mm size to Czechoslovskia.